

EXHIBIT 4

Confidential

Mukarram Attari, Ph.D. - March 08, 2023

| | | | | |
|----|--|----|--|------|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | 1 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION | 3 |
| 2 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | 2 | PAGE | |
| 3 | OAKLAND DIVISION | 3 | MUKARRAM ATTARI, Ph.D. | |
| 4 | | 4 | EXAMINATION BY MR. SPEAR | 6 |
| 5 | | 5 | | |
| 6 | IN RE MATTER OF:) | 6 | | |
| |) | 7 | | |
| 7 | RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION) | 8 | -00o- | |
| |) CASE NO. 4:18-cv-06753-PJH | 9 | | |
| 8 |) | 10 | | |
| 9 | | 11 | | |
| 10 | | 12 | Instructed Not to Answer | |
| 11 | *** CONFIDENTIAL *** | 13 | Page Line | |
| 12 | | 14 | 49 20 | |
| 13 | VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MUKARRAM ATTARI, Ph.D. | 15 | 107 12 | |
| 14 | REMOTE VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE | 16 | | |
| 15 | Wednesday, March 8, 2023 | 17 | | |
| 16 | | 18 | | |
| 17 | | 19 | | |
| 18 | | 20 | | |
| 19 | | 21 | | |
| 20 | Stenographically Reported by: | 22 | | |
| 21 | HEATHER J. BAUTISTA, CSR, CRR, RPR, CLR | 23 | | |
| | Realtime Systems Administrator | 24 | | |
| 22 | California CSR License #11600 | 25 | | |
| | Oregon CSR License #21-0005 | | | |
| 23 | Washington License #21009491 | | | |
| | Nevada CCR License #980 | | | |
| 24 | Texas CSR License #10725 | | | |
| 25 | Job No.: 2023-884741 | | | |
| 1 | VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MUKARRAM ATTARI, | 1 | INDEX OF EXHIBITS | 4 |
| 2 | Ph.D., taken before Heather J. Bautista, CSR No. 11600, | 2 | Exhibit No. Description | Page |
| 3 | a Certified Shorthand Reporter for the state of | 3 | Exhibit 66 2/3/2023 Expert Report of | 7 |
| 4 | California, with principal office in the county of Santa | | Dr. Mukarram Attari | |
| 5 | Clara, commencing on Wednesday, March 8, 2023, | 4 | Exhibit 67 Defendants' Responses to Lead | 30 |
| 6 | 10:21 a.m., remotely via videoconference. | 5 | Plaintiff's Deposition of | |
| 7 | | 6 | Dr. Mukarram Attari with Request for | |
| 8 | APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: | 7 | Documents | |
| 9 | For Lead Plaintiff BRADLEY SOSTACK: | 8 | Exhibit 68 CRA profile page for | 34 |
| 10 | Susman Godfrey LLP | 9 | Dr. Mukarram Attari | |
| | BY: NICHOLAS N. SPEAR, ESQ. | 10 | Exhibit 69 15 USCA Section 77(l) | 47 |
| 11 | 1900 Avenue of the Stars | 11 | | |
| | 14th Floor | 12 | ::: PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS ::: | |
| 12 | Los Angeles, California 90067 | 13 | Exhibit No. Description | Page |
| | Phone: (310) 789-3100 / Fax: (310) 789-3150 | 14 | Exhibit 2 Trading records for Lead Plaintiff | 109 |
| 13 | nspear@susmangodfrey.com | | Bradley Sostack | |
| 14 | For Defendants RIPPLE LABS INC.; XRP II, LLC; and | 15 | Exhibit 10 Expert report of | 53 |
| | BRADLEY GARLINGHOUSE: | 16 | Dr. Steven Feinstein | |
| 15 | | 17 | | |
| | King & Spalding LLP | 18 | | |
| 16 | BY: ANDREW MICHAELSON, ESQ. | 19 | | |
| | 1185 Avenue of the Americas | 20 | | |
| 17 | 34th Floor | 21 | | |
| | New York, New York 10036 | 22 | | |
| 18 | Phone: (212) 556-2100 / Fax: (212) 556-2222 | 23 | | |
| | amichaelson@kslaw.com | 24 | | |
| 19 | | 25 | | |
| | King & Spalding LLP | | | |
| 20 | BY: LUKE RONIGER, ESQ. | | | |
| | 500 W. 2nd Street | | | |
| 21 | Suite 1800 | | | |
| | Austin, Texas 78701 | | | |
| 22 | Phone: (512) 547-2044 | | | |
| | Ironiger@kslaw.com | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | ALSO PRESENT: Drayton Everson, Videographer | | | |
| | Deborah McCrimmon, ESQ., (Ripple in-house | | | |
| 25 | counsel) | | | |

Confidential

Mukarram Attari, PH.D. - March 08, 2023

| | | | |
|----|--|----|--|
| 1 | Wednesday, March 8, 2023 | 5 | 1 (Exhibit 66 was marked for identification.) |
| 2 | 10:21 a.m. | 2 | 2 Q. (By Mr. Spear) This, Dr. Attari, is your |
| 3 | --oOo-- | 3 | 3 expert report. Exhibit 66 was filed as Exhibit 52 to |
| 4 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record on | 4 | 4 Defendants' Motion for Opposition to Class |
| 5 | March 8th, 2023, at approximately 10:21 a.m. Pacific | 5 | 5 Certification. |
| 6 | Time for the remote video deposition of Dr. Mukarram | 6 | 6 Is that the version you are looking at? |
| 7 | Attari in the matter of In Re: Ripple Labs, Inc., | 7 | 7 A. Yes. |
| 8 | litigation. | 8 | 8 Q. Okay. |
| 9 | My name is Drayton Everson, and I'm the | 9 | 9 So if you look on Page 2 of that document, it |
| 10 | videographer on behalf of Lexitas. | 10 | 10 says "Expert Report of Dr. Mukarram Attari, February |
| 11 | Will counsel please introduce themselves for | 11 | 11 3rd, 2023." Is this your expert report in this matter? |
| 12 | the record and who they represent, beginning with the | 12 | 12 A. Yes. |
| 13 | party noticing this proceeding. | 13 | 13 Q. You have been retained by counsel for the |
| 14 | MR. SPEAR: Good morning. Nick Spear, | 14 | 14 defendants as an expert in this case; correct? |
| 15 | Susman Godfrey, for Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack. | 15 | 15 A. Yes. |
| 16 | MR. MICHAELSON: Andrew Michaelson from | 16 | 16 Q. Did anyone assist you in writing this report? |
| 17 | King & Spalding. I'm representing Defendants in this | 17 | 17 A. I drafted the -- |
| 18 | action, and I'm joined by my co-counsel, Luke Roniger, | 18 | 18 (Stenographer clarification.) |
| 19 | also King & Spalding, and Deborah McCrimmon from Ripple. | 19 | 19 THE WITNESS: I drafted the initial version, |
| 20 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you, Counsel. | 20 | 20 and a team at CRA, that's C-R-A, assisted me with the |
| 21 | Will our court reporter please swear in the | 21 | 21 analysis and the -- and refining the drafts. |
| 22 | witness. | 22 | 22 Q. (By Mr. Spear) For the benefit of the court |
| 23 | THE STENOGRAPHER: Good morning. | 23 | 23 reporter and the record, CRA stands for Charles Rivers |
| 24 | My name is Heather Bautista, and I'm a | 24 | 24 Associate; correct -- Associates; correct? |
| 25 | certified stenographer licensed by the State of | 25 | 25 A. Yes. |
| 1 | California. My license number is 11600. | 6 | 1 Q. So going forward, when I say "CRA" or you say |
| 2 | This deposition and any transcript produced | 2 | 2 "CRA" today, we'll all understand that to be Charles |
| 3 | therefrom will be handled pursuant to Federal Rule of | 3 | 3 Rivers Associates; fair enough? |
| 4 | Civil Procedure Section 30. | 4 | 4 A. Fair enough. |
| 5 | As the deposition officer, I will be retaining | 5 | 5 Q. Who helped you draft or edit or write this |
| 6 | my duties and responsibilities under the Code. | 6 | 6 report or do the analysis? |
| 7 | Please raise your right hand so I can swear you | 7 | 7 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form. |
| 8 | in. | 8 | 8 THE WITNESS: It was a number of people. The |
| 9 | MUKARRAM ATTARI, Ph.D., | 9 | 9 two of them that come to mind as I'm sitting here is Sam |
| 10 | having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified | 10 | 10 Lynch -- S-a-m, L-y-n-c-h -- and Swati Kanoria. That's |
| 11 | as follows: | 11 | 11 S-w-a-t, as in "Texas," -i, K-a-n-o-r-i-a. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: I do. | 12 | 12 Q. (By Mr. Spear) What is your billing rate for |
| 13 | THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. | 13 | 13 this matter, Dr. Attari? |
| 14 | Please state your full name for the record. | 14 | 14 A. Stated in the report, it's \$1,275. |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Mukarram, M-u-k-a-r-r-a-m, | 15 | 15 Q. And for the two people you mentioned that you |
| 16 | Attari; that's A, -t, as in "Texas," -t, as in "Texas," | 16 | 16 recall working on the report with you, what is their |
| 17 | -a-r-i. | 17 | 17 billing rates? |
| 18 | THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. | 18 | 18 A. I do not know. |
| 19 | Counsel, you can begin. | 19 | 19 Q. About how long did you spend putting together |
| 20 | DIRECT EXAMINATION | 20 | 20 this report? |
| 21 | BY MR. SPEAR: | 21 | 21 A. I believe we started work sometime in December |
| 22 | Q. Good morning, Dr. Attari. | 22 | 22 and were done by February 3rd. |
| 23 | What city and state do you currently live in? | 23 | 23 Q. Do you know how many hours you spent, roughly, |
| 24 | A. Oakland, California. | 24 | 24 working on this report? |
| 25 | MR. SPEAR: So I'm going to mark Exhibit 66. | 25 | 25 A. Not sitting here. |

Confidential

Mukarram Attari, PH.D. - March 08, 2023

| | | | |
|-----|--|-----|---|
| 125 | <p>1 losses, Andrew. I'm talking purely about gains and 2 losses, which is literally the subject of Dr. Attari's 3 opinion.</p> <p>4 Again, if you guys -- if you guys want -- if 5 you guys want to say right now that Dr. Attari is not 6 offering an opinion that there is -- or, you know, is 7 not offering an opinion about whether there's a common 8 methodology to calculate gains or losses, we can move 9 on. But I'm -- I don't think it's unfair to say, "Can 10 you calculate gains or losses using the same formula for 11 each person, even if the inputs are different?" It's a 12 simple question.</p> <p>13 So you -- you tell me. If you guys -- if you 14 want to say on the record that he's not offering an 15 opinion at all about the formula used to calculate gains 16 or losses or whether it's common or not common, we can 17 move on. But if you can't offer me that, then I got to 18 keep asking my questions.</p> <p>19 MR. MICHAELSON: Look. A calculation of gain 20 or loss is a part of his -- of his opinion. Where 21 you're going beyond that is this concept of applying one 22 methodology across a class, and I think it's because 23 you've covered this terrain multiple times, spent a lot 24 of time on it, and what you've -- what you've -- you 25 know, what you get are questions like there are multiple</p> | 127 | <p>1 appropriate method for calculating gains or losses for 2 class members?</p> <p>3 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Am I saying there is one correct 5 method? No.</p> <p>6 Q. (By Mr. Spear) Are you saying that you would 7 need to use different methods depending on the class 8 member? Is that an opinion you're offering?</p> <p>9 A. I'm saying that there are different methods -- 10 approaches to calculating gains and losses that give you 11 different answers.</p> <p>12 Q. Are you offering an opinion, Dr. Attari, that 13 it is appropriate to use different methods for 14 calculating gains and losses depending on the XRP 15 purchaser?</p> <p>16 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Can you say that again, please.</p> <p>18 Q. (By Mr. Spear) Sure.</p> <p>19 Are you offering an opinion that you would need 20 to apply different approaches to determining gains and 21 losses for different XRP purchasers?</p> <p>22 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: I'm saying that there are 24 different approaches to calculating gains and losses 25 that will give you different answers.</p> |
| 126 | <p>1 ways to calculate it; you get different answers; it may 2 not make sense, depending on the purchaser. You've -- 3 you've already covered this. And that's -- and it's not 4 his opinion -- he's not expressing an opinion on -- on 5 whether one -- one approach would make sense for all 6 purchasers. It's not -- that's outside the scope.</p> <p>7 I think, you know, if you want to keep asking 8 about this, keep asking, and I'll keep saying "asked and 9 answered," and we'll see, you know, where it goes.</p> <p>10 You're coming back to this. You've spent a lot 11 of time on it already.</p> <p>12 MR. SPEAR: I mean, that's my -- that's my 13 choice. I've not been inefficient today. I'm allowed 14 to ask my questions. We can just keep going.</p> <p>15 Q. (By Mr. Spear) Dr. Attari, are you ready?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Are you offering an opinion, Dr. Attari, about 18 the appropriate method for calculating gains or losses 19 for class members?</p> <p>20 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: I'm saying that there are 22 multiple approaches to calculating gains or losses, and 23 those approaches would give you different answers.</p> <p>24 Q. (By Mr. Spear) Not my question, Dr. Attari.</p> <p>25 Are you offering an opinion about the</p> | 128 | <p>1 Q. (By Mr. Spear) I understand that.</p> <p>2 Are you saying that you would need to apply 3 different approaches to determining gains and losses to 4 different class members?</p> <p>5 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form. Scope.</p> <p>6 Asked and answered.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.</p> <p>8 Q. (By Mr. Spear) Are you testifying that to 9 determine the gains and losses for an individual class 10 member, you might need to apply a different approach to 11 different class members?</p> <p>12 MR. MICHAELSON: Same objections.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: You -- if the approach to -- the 14 approach to computing gains or losses will depend on the 15 currencies the purchaser used or purchase and sale, and 16 it will be more complicated for people who purchase and 17 sold in different currencies, and the gain or losses 18 will be -- should be computed in -- in whatever 19 currencies they used to purchase and sell.</p> <p>20 So, yes, I mean, you know, across all possible 21 purchasers of XRP, you cannot use the same method. You 22 will not be able to use the same method.</p> <p>23 Q. (By Mr. Spear) So it's your testimony that for 24 some purchasers, you have to calculate gains or losses 25 in, say, Bitcoin and others in U.S. dollars; that's</p> |

Confidential

Mukarram Attari, PH.D. - March 08, 2023

| | | | |
|---|-----|--|-----|
| <p>1 your -- that's your testimony?</p> <p>2 MR. MICHAELSON: Same objections.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: That is one dimension of the</p> <p>4 difference, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. (By Mr. Spear) And what is your basis for</p> <p>6 saying that you would calculate some gains or losses for</p> <p>7 some class members in U.S. dollars and some gains or</p> <p>8 losses in Bitcoin for other class members?</p> <p>9 MR. MICHAELSON: Same objections. Form.</p> <p>10 Scope.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: Well, it will depend on the</p> <p>12 currencies that they purchased and sold in. So if there</p> <p>13 are people sitting in Korea, for example, buying and</p> <p>14 selling in Korean won, then computing gains or losses</p> <p>15 for them in U.S. dollars is meaningless economically.</p> <p>16 Q. (By Mr. Spear) Even if we're in a U.S. court?</p> <p>17 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: So I think there you're asking me</p> <p>19 a legal question, and you asked me that earlier, too,</p> <p>20 and I -- I don't know the answer to that.</p> <p>21 Q. (By Mr. Spear) So are you offering an opinion</p> <p>22 about whether you should not calculate gains or</p> <p>23 losses -- strike that.</p> <p>24 Let's -- is it ever possible to calculate gains</p> <p>25 or losses on an aggregate basis?</p> | 129 | <p>1 losses on an aggregate basis?</p> <p>2 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Can I think of a scenario</p> <p>4 where -- sorry. Say that again.</p> <p>5 Q. (By Mr. Spear) Why is it relevant that it is</p> <p>6 not possible to evaluate gains or losses on an aggregate</p> <p>7 basis?</p> <p>8 A. Well, in -- in this case, it's talking about</p> <p>9 all possible -- aggregate as in all possible purchasers</p> <p>10 and sellers; right? I mean, if you -- if you look at --</p> <p>11 you know, if you look at -- at certain markets, for</p> <p>12 example, and you've been asking a lot about securities</p> <p>13 markets, so you might have the market capitalization of</p> <p>14 a company that changes from day to day, and that tells</p> <p>15 you -- that gives you one measure of gain or loss to</p> <p>16 investors in that company on an aggregate basis.</p> <p>17 But that's different than, kind of, all</p> <p>18 purchasers over a period -- long period of time</p> <p>19 calculating gains or losses for them on an aggregate</p> <p>20 basis.</p> <p>21 Q. Let's look at Paragraph 24 of your opinion.</p> <p>22 This is on Page 12.</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. You say, at the end of that paragraph,</p> <p>25 "However, if no information is available on purchase and</p> | 131 |
| <p>1 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form.</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.</p> <p>3 Q. (By Mr. Spear) You said it is not possible, in</p> <p>4 Paragraph 41, to evaluate gain or loss on an aggregate</p> <p>5 basis. Do you see that?</p> <p>6 A. It says, "These substantial variations show</p> <p>7 that the assessment of gain or loss should account for</p> <p>8 purchase-by-purchase inputs on an individualized basis</p> <p>9 and, accordingly, that it's not possible to evaluate</p> <p>10 gain or loss on an aggregate basis."</p> <p>11 Q. In securities litigation, is it ever possible</p> <p>12 to calculate gain or loss on an aggregate basis?</p> <p>13 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: In securities cases, you're</p> <p>15 typically not calculating gains or losses. You're</p> <p>16 calculating damages, which is something different, which</p> <p>17 has to do with inflation and all those other inputs --</p> <p>18 Q. (By Mr. Spear) You say here --</p> <p>19 A. -- specific to the --</p> <p>20 Q. Go ahead.</p> <p>21 A. -- the case facts.</p> <p>22 Q. You say here, "It is not possible to evaluate</p> <p>23 gains or losses on an aggregate basis." I'm just asking</p> <p>24 the simple question of: Can you think of a scenario</p> <p>25 where it would ever be possible to evaluate gains or</p> | 130 | <p>1 sale prices and the currency used to purchase or sell</p> <p>2 XRP, it is not possible to determine whether a purchaser</p> <p>3 earned a gain or incurred a loss, even in this simple</p> <p>4 situation."</p> <p>5 Do you see that?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. If you had that information, you would be able</p> <p>8 to determine whether a purchaser earned a gain or</p> <p>9 incurred a loss; correct?</p> <p>10 A. In the simple situation, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And how would you determine whether that person</p> <p>12 earned a gain or incurred a loss?</p> <p>13 A. Well, so this is where they purchased and sold</p> <p>14 in the -- in the same currency. So then you could</p> <p>15 subtract the purchase price from the sale price, and</p> <p>16 you'd have the gain or loss in that currency.</p> <p>17 Q. So let's go to Paragraph 25. You say, "In</p> <p>18 other words," this is the last sentence, "if XRP is</p> <p>19 purchased and sold over multiple transactions across</p> <p>20 multiple days (or even different times of the same day),</p> <p>21 an assessment of the relevant purchase and sales must</p> <p>22 account for the price of each transaction with a</p> <p>23 corresponding portion of purchase and sale quantities."</p> <p>24 Do you see that?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> | 132 |

Confidential

Mukarram Attari, Ph.D. - March 08, 2023

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>145</p> <p>1 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection. Form. Asked and 2 answered.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Again, as I noted, there are 4 multiple approaches that can be used.</p> <p>5 Q. (By Mr. Spear) But you're not offering an 6 opinion on any specific one; correct? Or about the 7 propriety of any specific one; correct?</p> <p>8 MR. MICHAELSON: Same objections.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: I don't know what "propriety" 10 means in this kind of setting.</p> <p>11 Q. (By Mr. Spear) You're not offering an opinion 12 that one methodology is more appropriate than another 13 methodology; correct?</p> <p>14 MR. MICHAELSON: Same objections.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: I am stating that there are 16 multiple approaches that can be used that will give 17 different answers.</p> <p>18 Q. (By Mr. Spear) But you're not saying that one 19 is more appropriate to be used than another; is that 20 fair?</p> <p>21 MR. MICHAELSON: Same objections.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: I mean, that, ultimately, will 23 depend on the -- on the information that becomes 24 available.</p> <p>25 Q. (By Mr. Spear) But that's not an opinion you</p> | <p>147</p> <p>1 THE STENOGRAPHER: Okay. 2 Counsel, before we go off the record, Mr. 3 Michaelson, would you like to order a copy of today's 4 transcript?</p> <p>5 MR. MICHAELSON: Yes.</p> <p>6 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</p> <p>7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right.</p> <p>8 The time is 3:25 p.m., and we are going off the 9 record.</p> <p>10 (Whereupon, the videotaped deposition of 11 MUKARRAM ATTARI, Ph.D., concluded at 3:25 p.m.)</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> |
| <p>146</p> <p>1 are offering now; correct?</p> <p>2 MR. MICHAELSON: Same objections.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: It's -- there are multiple 4 approaches; that's what I'm saying. Is one better than 5 the other? No, I'm not saying one is better than the 6 other.</p> <p>7 MR. SPEAR: Fair enough. I am done.</p> <p>8 Anyone else have any questions?</p> <p>9 MR. MICHAELSON: Can we -- can we just break 10 for a moment for us to consider redirect? Just be five 11 minutes.</p> <p>12 MR. SPEAR: Sure.</p> <p>13 MR. MICHAELSON: Thanks.</p> <p>14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:18 p.m., and 15 we are going off the record.</p> <p>16 (Recess taken from 3:18 p.m. to 3:24 p.m.)</p> <p>17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:24 p.m., and 18 we are back on the record.</p> <p>19 MR. MICHAELSON: So Defendants would like to 20 designate the entirety of this transcript confidential.</p> <p>21 We do not have any redirect or further 22 questions at this time.</p> <p>23 MR. SPEAR: Thank you, all.</p> <p>24 Dr. Attari, I really appreciate it.</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.</p> | <p>148</p> <p>1 I, HEATHER J. BAUTISTA, CSR No. 11600, Certified 2 Shorthand Reporter, certify:</p> <p>3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before 4 me at the time and place therein set forth, at which 5 time the witness declared under penalty of perjury; that 6 the testimony of the witness and all objections made at 7 the time of the examination were recorded 8 stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed 9 under my direction and supervision;</p> <p>10 That the foregoing is a full, true, and correct 11 transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and of the 12 testimony so given;</p> <p>13 () Reading and signing was requested/offered.</p> <p>14 (XX) Reading and signing was not requested/offered.</p> <p>15 () Reading and signing was waived.</p> <p>16 I further certify that I am not financially 17 interested in the action, and I am not a relative or 18 employee of any attorney of the parties, nor of any of 19 the parties.</p> <p>20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws 21 of California that the foregoing is true and correct.</p> <p>22</p> <p>23 Dated: March 14, 2023</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p>HEATHER J. BAUTISTA, CSR, CRR, RPR, CLR</p> |